



Low-latency Selection of Gravitational-wave Event Candidates for Wide-field Optical Follow-up Observation

Amber Stuver for the LSC and the Virgo Collaboration

- LIGO & Virgo have been recently operated as a multi-messenger event generator.
- Humans do final event event vetting and decision making regarding EM observing requests.
- This poster presents the EM follow-up infrastructure from event generation and focusing on the human vetting process.

LIGO-G1100001-v3

Low-latency Selection of Gravitational-wave Event Candidates for Wide-field Optical Follow-up Observation

Amber L. Stuver
(LIGO Livingston Observatory)

For the LIGO Scientific Collaboration and the Virgo Collaboration

Abstract

Interferometric gravitational wave (GW) detectors have reached the sensitivity and refinement in data analysis to begin to participate in the multi-messenger astronomy community as an event generator. The LIGO and Virgo Collaborations have created new SDCs with wide-field optical telescopes and developed an infrastructure to implement low-latency Target-of-Opportunity (ToO) requests in search of optical transients accompanying a candidate GW event. This infrastructure begins with the aggregation of near real-time

candidate GW events in a database along with their significance estimation. If sufficiently significant, an automated set of scripts generates a proposed observing plan and vetting experts are notified via email, SMS and control room alerts. These experts then evaluate the observing plan and the performance of the interferometers to decide on the execution of a ToO request. Once a ToO is executed and the images and other post-processing information are collected from the telescopes, image processing pipelines will seek to reveal candidate optical transients and measure their significance. Presented here is the detailed overview of this infrastructure as refined and executed during a winter 2009-2010 and summer 2010 follow-up run.

Generating Candidate Follow-up Events

Generating low-latency gravitational wave event candidates requires a maturity in every aspect of data analysis, including collecting data from various sites in a single location, calibration, event generation and vetting. The LIGO and Virgo detectors have achieved this maturity and can now serve as an event source in the multi-messenger astronomy community.

Event Generators

Burst

- Galaxy Zookeeper** - a coherent network algorithm based on constrained likelihood analysis returns reconstructed signal and most likely source location map.
- Omega Pipeline** - a multi-resolution time-frequency search for excess power on a single interferometer lane followed by a coherent follow-up to constrain candidate events, which generates a source likelihood map and the strength of the event.

Compact Binary Coalescence

- MRE4** ($\mathcal{M} = 1.5 M_{\odot}$) - 2nd order post-Newtonian matched filter (input search which searches between 1-5 M_{\odot} and requires at least one mass to be consistent with a neutron star (<3.5 M_{\odot}).

Database Aggregation and Significance Estimation

Once a data analysis method has identified a candidate gravitational wave event, this information is stored in a central database called Gracedb. Gracedb contains the central software control tool for the EM follow-up infrastructure called LUMIN. An analogous software package called GEM controls the selection of event candidates for follow-up by the burst searches. LUMIN then determines the false alarm rate (FAR) for this event. If the FAR is below a certain threshold, for the summer 2010 run was <0.25 events per day, LUMIN will notify Gracedb which will then issue alerts in the form of control room notices and emails and/or SMS messages to follow-up experts.

Human Vetting for Follow-up

A trained expert on vetting candidate GW events for follow-up is continuously on duty. Their role is to rapidly coordinate with scientists at the detector to evaluate their performance and suitability of an event for EM observation. Many steps in the vetting process can be, and are, automated, but until the low-latency follow-up infrastructure is mature and thoroughly tested, humans perform the final event vetting and decision making regarding ToO observing requests.

Check that the event is not near the beginning or end of a data segment

The data near the beginning and end of a data segment is not always free of transient. Because of this, we require that a candidate event for follow-up not be within the first minute of a data segment (there is still a small possibility that transients from realigning the interferometer are present) or within 2 minutes before the end of a data segment (transient events that may have caused the end of the segment may be present). This has been incorporated into an automated vetting rule.

Check the data quality around the time of the event

As the data are being acquired, background processes index times when issues that can affect data quality are present (e.g. the sound in a microphone beside the detector showing an airplane passing, etc.). These indices are checked to insure that no critical data quality issues during the candidate event are present. This has been incorporated into an automated vetting rule.

Check the detector 'glitch' rates around the time of the event

Other noise transients, known as glitches, can contaminate the data besides known issues as described above. There are 2 white glitch monitors that allow rapid checks on the rates of these at a given time:

- Omega** - a copy of the core algorithm of the Omega Pipeline. A visual inspection of the time-frequency scatter plot allows an effective check for lines or frequencies with unusually high glitch rates.
- KleinFisk** - a wavelet-based analysis that identifies times and frequencies with excess energy. KleinFisk glitch rates need to be <1 per sec for the 5 minutes before and 1 minute after the event. This has been incorporated into an automated vetting rule.

Discuss each detector's performance with the on site scientist

A consistent internet connection exists between the detector control rooms and the vetting expert. When there is a candidate event for follow-up, the scientist on duty at each site actively participates in the vetting and discusses if there are any issues obvious to them that would preclude this event from being followed-up with EM observations.

Observing Plan Development

Candidate events are accompanied by a sky map which expresses the probability that the event's source was located in a particular part of the sky. LUMIN will create an observing plan for each of the partner wide-field optical telescopes once it identifies an event for follow-up. This plan takes into account the number of images allowed for each telescope, the geographic densities and field of view for each telescope, the probability densities of the sky map and the number of nearby galaxies in the areas of high probability.

Alert Vetting...

Once a candidate GW event has been successfully vetted for EM follow-up, a ToO observing request is issued to participating telescopes. They will then image the requested area that are in their field of view as environmental conditions allow. Raw images and/or other post-processing information are returned to the GW EM follow-up effort and are analyzed by for optical transients.

Besides Wide-field Optical...

The LIGO and Virgo Collaborations have also entered into MOUs with gamma & UV (Swift), radio (EVR0) and narrow-field optical (Keck) telescopes. With a few exceptions (e.g. lower FAR for burst follow-up), the event generation and vetting for these events are the same.

The Science & Technology Roadmap for GW Observatories