

### **Inspiral Group Calibration Studies**

#### Duncan Brown University of Wisconsin-Milwaukee

LIGO Scientific Collaboration Inspiral Working Group

LIGO-G030136-00-Z



### Hardware Injections

- Study of S1 calibration was performed with hardware injections
- Injected several 1.4,1.4 solar mass inspiral signals into L1:LSC-DARM\_CTRL after the run
- Analyzed injection data with several different calibrations  $(\alpha = 0.4,...,1.4)$
- Analyzed injection data using correct template and full pipeline
- Did we detect injection signals?
- Are the detected parameters (mass, distance, etc...) correct?

# Results from Exact Template (SNR)



LIGO

LIGO Scientific Collaboration - IUL Group - LIGO-G030136-00-Z

## Results from Exact Template (Distance)



LIGO

LIGO Scientific Collaboration - IUL Group - LIGO-G030136-00-Z



### **Results from Template Bank**



LIGO Scientific Collaboration - IUL Group - LIGO-G030136-00-Z



### Conclusions

- Fractional loss in signal to noise ratio is quadratic in  $\Delta \alpha$  (as expected)
- Error in effective distance is linear in errors in  $\Delta \alpha$
- When using full template bank, loss in SNR is not as great as neighbouring templates pick up loss (error to be quantified later)
- For 10% error in alpha, 0.4% loss in SNR