

LIGO-1 Analysis Wrap-up:

Alan Wiseman University of Wisconsin -- Milwaukee

LIGO

GWDAW Talks

- Results Talks: Potentially ...
 - » Known pulsars, External Triggers, Any others? Please Notify
 - » Review standard: method and results fully checked for correctness
- Status Talks: (Sensitivity, yes: Statements about the sky, no):
 - » Pulsars, Inspiral, Stochastic, bursts ...
 - » Review standard: results unlikely to change significantly
- Technical Talks: ... many
 - » Review standard: Posted for comment prior to the meeting



LIGO GWDAW Talks: Timeline for Review Procedure

"While not all coauthors may be familiar with all aspects of the research presented in their papers [talks], all collaborations should have in place an appropriate process for reviewing and ensuring the accuracy and validity of the results, and all coauthors should be aware of the process." (APS guidelines for professional conduct)

•Timeline:

- •Now: Warn reviewers that they will be called on again. Thanks.
- •After each Analysis Group's next telecon (ie next week) submit list of talks to Peter Saulson and AGW and Bruce Allen
 - Deadline for abstract submission Friday 21 November
- •ASAP: Draft transparencies and other materials (eg internal reports, pointers to logs, etc) for Status and Results talks to the reviewers (and AGW and Peter Saulson). Practical suggestion: Invite the reviewer to your next telecon.
- December 2: Final Transparencies to Reviewers (and AGW and Peter Saulson).
 - •Peter and I will find a mechanism to make the transparencies available to the collaboration as a whole (eg. authorlist)
- Comments back from reviewers (and collaboration) by 9 December.
- •Iteration during week leading up to GWDAW.



Pulsar Analysis Group

S2 DATA SEARCHES

confident of having final results by March LSC meeting

- <u>production of 30min calibrated SFTs</u> well under way (see talks by V.Dergachev., X. Siemens in ASIS/DetChar). Expect calibrated h(t) in the next 2 weeks.
- All-sky, wide-frequency FDS, Tobs ~ ½ day GC, wide-frequency FDS, Tobs: entire run
- FDS of signals from ScoX1
- Hough transform

S2 DATA SEARCHES - not quite as confident

- TDS of signals from all known isolated pulsars (a bit less confident due to follow-up work)
- Stack-slide search
- Unbiased search

LIGO

Stochastic Overview

- Changes to pipeline
 - » now updating calibration & PSD every minute
 - » overall errorbars now from PSDs, not spread ofCCmeasurements
- Changes to implementation:
 - » Entire pipeline in LALWrapper
 - » Can do Monte Carlo on the fly
- Pipeline improvements still under consideration:
 - » overlapping window
 - » high-pass filtering
 - » averaging calibration factors
 - » Pseudo-detector method for combining L1-H1 & L1-H2 measurements
- Preliminary results (S2):
 - » LLO-LHO consistent w/0, error bars O(1e-2)
 - » 10-sigma correlation in H1-H2
- ALLEGRO-LLO analysis plans
 - » Work w/calibrated ALLEGRO h(t) to mitigate sharp spectral features
 - » Handle differently-sampled data by doing CC in freq domain
- Signal injection:
 - » Software: pipeline now optimized for Monte Carlo
 - » Hardware: signals injected into all pairs for S2 & S3;
 - » analysis just underway
- E10 coherence: preliminary indication H1-H2 much less correlated than in S2

LIGO Inspiral Working Group Summary

Binary Neutron Stars

- » S2 playground data has been fully analyzed
- » Single interferometer thresholds have been tuned
- » Coincidence criteria have been determined
- » Data quality cuts decided except for a couple of safety studies
- » Veto studies continue, but this is still the most difficult part
- » Will tune final cuts to 1% false alarm probability on time-shifted data set
- » Will present overview of pipeline, tuning and background studies for playground data set at GWDAW

LIGO Inspiral Working Group Summary

Binary Black Holes

- » S2 playground analyzed with 2pN Taylor approximant templates; Veto studies beginning
- » BCV template family implemented for detection; Expect pipeline to be completed in week after LSC meeting
- » Paper will present (null) result of search for BBH signals & range versus mass plot for canonical templates
- » Coincidence with ringdown and bursts will be used to add confidence in case of detection

MACHO Binaries:

- » About 5,000 templates for masses from 0.3-1.0 Msun
- » Search of playground complete
- » Computationally very costly (need LSC dataGrid)
- » Efficiency studies under way
- » Veto studies to begin, but expected to follow BNS pipeline



Burst Presentations Summaries

» Searching for known and unknown sources of Gravitational Wave Transients

- Untriggered and poorly or not modeled generic time and/or frequency algorithms
- Untriggered but modeled (SN, BH Ringdowns) matched filter techniques
- Externally triggered by GRBs cross correlation search methods

» Status of the analyses

- S2 playground extensively investigated for veto purposes and by 4 different astrophysical search engines
 - Vetoes and data quality: machinery is ready, many options are available but not finalized yet
 - Astrophysical event search engines (ETGs):
 - » Waveburst (wavelet domain)
 - » Excess Power (Fourier domain)
 - » Blocknormal (time domain at multiple frequency bands)
 - » TFCLUSTERS (Fourier domain)
 - · All ETGs have reported:
 - » Improved data conditioning
 - » Tuning of parameters
 - » Sensitivity to ad hoc waveforms at comparable levels
 - » Burst parameter estimation (time, frequency, amplitude) significantly improved w/r/t S1
 - » Preliminary estimates of false alarm rates for the playground
 - Post-coincidence analysis now introduces amplitude and cross-correlation check
- External Triggered search: GRB030329 analysis complete, upper limit presented

» Outlook

- S2 playground choices finalized within the next few weeks
- Target results for GWDAW: GRB030329, efficiencies, playground
- Looking at the full S2 data sample within 2003
- Pursue a cross-correlation analysis of the rest of the GRBs coincident with S2