# The polarization RSE tabletop interferometer

Peter Beyersdorf, Seiji Kawamura, Fumiko Kawazoe, Kentaro Somiya

National Astronomical Observatory of Japan

#### Marcel Aguerros

University of Washington

LIGO DCC# G040047-00-Z

#### First, a teaser...



Q: What do these things have in common?

Polarization RSE is a frequency tunable (RSE) interferometer configuration that uses the control and readout schemes of first generation (non-RSE) detectors.

- Why is this important?
- How does it work?
- Results from the prototype
- Conclusion

# Motivation

- Resonant Sideband Extraction (RSE) will be used in second generation detectors
  - Reduces thermal load on the beamsplitter
  - Allows frequency tuning of the interferometer
  - Can beat the standard quantum limit at certain frequencies
- Control schemes and signal readout used with 1<sup>st</sup> generation detectors are inadequate for conventional RSE configurations.
  - More cross-coupling of length degrees of freedom in RSE configurations
  - Modified control schemes use extra RF sidebands which greatly increase detector bandwidth requirements
  - Imbalance of RF sidebands in detuned configurations make RF readout susceptible to excess technical noise (although may allow reduction in quantum noise)
  - detuning requires reoptimizing demodulation phases
- Goal: create and demonstrate a frequency tunable (RSE) configuration compatible with the readout and control schemes of first generation detectors.

# RSE overview

- High-finesse arm cavities increase the circulating power in the arms, thereby increasing the sensitivity and decreasing the detector bandwidth.
- "Power" exits the beamsplitter at the bright port
- "Signals" exit the beamsplitter at the dark port
- The signal extraction mirror (SEM) causes a resonant buildup for signals in the signal extraction cavity, which makes the arm cavities' near mirrors look transparent to the signal sidebands in the arms allowing them to exit the interferometer before being averaged out during the long storage time of the arm cavities.
- The signal extraction cavity length determines the SEC resonant frequency and hence the peak frequency of the detectors response.
- The reflectivity of the signal extraction mirror sets the bandwidth of the resonance and hence the bandwidth of the detectors response.
- BONUS The optical feedback produced by the signal extraction mirror couples radiation pressure and shot noise allowing the standard quantum limit to be exceeded in a narrow frequency band.



SEC transmission is increased on resonance



#### Challenges of (other) RSE configurations

- RSE requires 5 lengths to be controlled vs 4 for 1<sup>st</sup> generation detectors.
  - Complicates lock acquisition: requiring several intermediate steps with the parameters of the control scheme reoptimized at each step
  - Degrades the control matrix: The control signals for the first 4 degrees of freedom have a sensitivity to the additional 5<sup>th</sup> degree of freedom
  - This requires an extra modulation frequency, and greatly increases bandwidth requirements for the photodetector



#### Challenges of (previous) RSE configurations

- Sideband imbalance at dark port
  - Allows noise from phase modulation to couple to the output signal



# Addressing the challenges of RSE

#### Conventional RSE

- Various control schemes have been developed and tested on table-top experiments
- Development of high-power, high-frequency photodetectors for RSE is proceeding
- DC readout to avoid excess noise in RF readout has been proposed
- various tuning mechanism that can tune the interferometer to a discrete set of frequencies have been shown
- Polarization RSE

# Polarization RSE interferometer

- The light in the arms is split by polarization.
- All of the light (power and signal) exits the polarizing beam splitter through the same port.
- A differential signal causes a change in the polarization state of the output light
- The output power is in the "bright polarization" the output signal is in the "dark polarization"
- A single recycling mirror recycles both the power and the signal
- A variable waveplate in the recycling cavity shifts the resonant frequency for the signal relative to the power.
- An off-axis input-output coupler provides polarization-dependant coupling to the recycling cavity so the power and signal cavity finesse can be different
- RSE is realized in an interferometer with only 4 degrees of freedom



#### Mathematical model of the interferometer

- Use Jones calculus to describe the round-trip operator for the recycling cavity
- Expand the steady-state solution for cavity buildup with respect to length deviations to calculate the length sensing signals
- Investigate the static response with imperfect polarizing optics to determine if they can couple noise to the output port
- Calculate the gravitational wave response from the product of the carrier buildup in the arms, the Michelson transfer function, and the sideband buildup in the recycling cavity.

10

#### Mathematical model of the interferometer

- Use Jones calculus to describe the round-trip operator for the recycling cavity
  - Represent polarized light as a vector
  - Represent optical elements as 2x2 matrices
  - Multiply matrices to compute Jones matrix for a round-trip in the recycling cavity

 $\hat{s} = \begin{bmatrix} 0 \\ 1 \end{bmatrix} \qquad \hat{p} = \begin{bmatrix} 1 \\ 0 \end{bmatrix}$ 

 $\begin{bmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} -1 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 \end{bmatrix}$ 



#### Mathematical model of the interferometer

- Expand the steady-state solution for cavity buildup with respect to length deviations to calculate the length sensing signals
- Results illuminate the choice of signals for length sensing

$$\frac{\vec{E}_r}{E_0} \approx \begin{bmatrix} \boldsymbol{p}_0 + \boldsymbol{p}_1 \\ \boldsymbol{s}_0 + \boldsymbol{s}_1 \end{bmatrix} \qquad \frac{\vec{E}_t}{E_0} \approx t_{IOC}^{-1} \begin{bmatrix} -i\boldsymbol{p}_0 - i\boldsymbol{p}_1 \\ c_0 + c_1 + c_2 - i\boldsymbol{s}_0 - i\boldsymbol{s}_1 \end{bmatrix}$$

steady-state cavity model  

$$\begin{array}{c}
E_{in} \textcircled{} \downarrow \textcircled{} E_{r} \\
\downarrow \overbrace{} \overbrace{} E_{r} \\
\hline \downarrow \overbrace{} E_{RC} \\
\hline \vec{E}_{RC} = RT \vec{E}_{RC} + r_{IOC} \vec{E}_{in} \\
\vec{E}_{r} \approx r_{IOC} \vec{E}_{RC} \\
\vec{E}_{t} \approx it_{IOC} \vec{E}_{in} - it_{IOC} \vec{E}_{RC}
\end{array}$$





#### Length sensing

1st order

- Polarization RSE length sensing signals are analogous to those of a (non-RSE) power-recycled Fabry-Perot Michelson interferometer
- A control scheme analogous to that of TAMA300 can be used

$$\frac{dark \text{ polarization}}{dark \text{ polarization}} = \frac{Polarization \text{ RSE field amplitudes}}{P_0 = \frac{it_{IOC,s}t_{IOC,p}e^{i2b}}{\left(1 + t_{IOC,p}^2e^{i2b}\right)r_{IOC,s}^2} \left[ \left(\frac{1 + r_{nm}}{1 - r_{nm}}\right)kL d\Phi_- + dF_- \right] \qquad S_0 = \frac{1}{r_{IOC,s}^2} + \frac{i}{r_{IOC,s}^2} \left[ \left(\frac{1 + r_{nm}}{1 - r_{nm}}\right)kL d\Phi_+ + dF_+ \right] \\ = \frac{1}{r_{IOC,s}^2} \left[ \frac{it_{IOC,s}t_{IOC,p}e^{i2b}}{\left(1 + t_{IOC,p}^2e^{i2b}\right)r_{IOC,s}^2} \right] \qquad S_1 = \frac{1}{r_{IOC,s}^2} + \frac{idF_+}{r_{IOC,s}^2} \\ = \frac{1}{r_{IOC,s}^2} \left[ \frac{1 + r_{nm}}{1 - r_{nm}} \right] \\ = \frac{1}{r_{IOC,s}^2} \left[ \frac{1 + r_{nm}}{1 - r_{nm}} \right] \\ = \frac{1}{r_{IOC,s}^2} \left[ \frac{1 + r_{nm}}{1 - r_{nm}} \right] \\ = \frac{1}{r_{IOC,s}^2} \left[ \frac{1 + r_{nm}}{1 - r_{nm}} \right] \\ = \frac{1}{r_{IOC,s}^2} \left[ \frac{1 + r_{nm}}{1 - r_{nm}} \right] \\ = \frac{1}{r_{IOC,s}^2} \left[ \frac{1 + r_{nm}}{1 - r_{nm}} \right] \\ = \frac{1}{r_{IOC,s}^2} \left[ \frac{1 + r_{nm}}{1 - r_{nm}} \right] \\ = \frac{1}{r_{IOC,s}^2} \left[ \frac{1 + r_{nm}}{1 - r_{nm}} \right] \\ = \frac{1}{r_{IOC,s}^2} \left[ \frac{1 + r_{nm}}{1 - r_{nm}} \right] \\ = \frac{1}{r_{IOC,s}^2} \left[ \frac{1 + r_{nm}}{1 - r_{nm}} \right] \\ = \frac{1}{r_{IOC,s}^2} \left[ \frac{1 + r_{nm}}{1 - r_{nm}} \right] \\ = \frac{1}{r_{IOC,s}^2} \left[ \frac{1 + r_{nm}}{1 - r_{nm}} \right] \\ = \frac{1}{r_{IOC,s}^2} \left[ \frac{1 + r_{nm}}{1 - r_{nm}} \right] \\ = \frac{1}{r_{IOC,s}^2} \left[ \frac{1 + r_{nm}}{1 - r_{nm}} \right] \\ = \frac{1}{r_{IOC,s}^2} \left[ \frac{1 + r_{nm}}{1 - r_{nm}} \right] \\ = \frac{1}{r_{IOC,s}^2} \left[ \frac{1 + r_{nm}}{1 - r_{nm}} \right] \\ = \frac{1}{r_{IOC,s}^2} \left[ \frac{1 + r_{nm}}{1 - r_{nm}} \right] \\ = \frac{1}{r_{IOC,s}^2} \left[ \frac{1 + r_{nm}}{1 - r_{nm}} \right] \\ = \frac{1}{r_{IOC,s}^2} \left[ \frac{1 + r_{nm}}{1 - r_{nm}} \right] \\ = \frac{1}{r_{IOC,s}^2} \left[ \frac{1 + r_{nm}}{1 - r_{nm}} \right] \\ = \frac{1}{r_{IOC,s}^2} \left[ \frac{1 + r_{nm}}{1 - r_{nm}} \right] \\ = \frac{1}{r_{IOC,s}^2} \left[ \frac{1 + r_{nm}}{1 - r_{nm}} \right] \\ = \frac{1}{r_{IOC,s}^2} \left[ \frac{1 + r_{nm}}{1 - r_{nm}} \right] \\ = \frac{1}{r_{IOC,s}^2} \left[ \frac{1 + r_{nm}}{1 - r_{nm}} \right] \\ = \frac{1}{r_{IOC,s}^2} \left[ \frac{1 + r_{nm}}{1 - r_{IOC,s}^2} \right] \\ = \frac{1}{r_{IOC,s}^2} \left[ \frac{1 + r_{nm}}{1 - r_{IOC,s}^2} \right] \\ = \frac{1}{r_{IOC,s}^2} \left[ \frac{1 + r_{nm}}{1 - r_{IOC,s}^2} \right] \\ = \frac{1}{r_{IOC,s}^2} \left[ \frac{1 + r_{nm}}{1 - r_{IOC,s}^2} \right] \\ = \frac{1}{r_{IOC,s}^2} \left[ \frac{1 + r_{nm}}{1 - r_{IOC,s}^$$

$$E_{0} = \frac{it_{PRM}}{\left(1 - r_{PRM}^{2}\right)} \left[ \left( \frac{1 + r_{nm}}{1 - r_{nm}} \right) kL d\Phi_{-} + dF_{-} \right] \qquad E_{0} = r_{PRM} - \frac{t_{PRM}^{2}}{\left(1 - r_{PRM}\right)^{2}} \left[ \left( \frac{1 + r_{nm}}{1 - r_{nm}} \right) kL dF_{+} + dF_{+} \right] \\ E_{1} = \frac{it_{PRM} dF_{-}}{\left(1 - r_{PRM}\right)^{2}} \cos a - \frac{it_{PRM} \left(1 + idF_{+}\right)}{\left(1 - r_{PRM}\right)^{2}} \sin a \qquad E_{1} = r_{PRM} - \frac{t_{PRM}^{2} \left(1 + idF_{+}\right)}{\left(1 - r_{PRM}\right)^{2}} \cos a + \frac{t_{PRM}^{2} dF_{-}}{\left(1 - r_{PRM}\right)^{2}} \sin a$$

# Length sensing

Signal detection ports are analogous to those of first generation detectors



#### Theoretical response (to GWs)

- Calculate the gravitational wave response from the product of
  - Carrier build in arms  $\mathbf{k} \in \mathbf{k}^{\mathbf{E}_{\mathbf{R}C},\mathbf{E}_{arm}}$
  - Conversion from carrier to sidebands by a GW  $c_1(w) \equiv \frac{E_{s,1}(w)}{E_{cav}}$
  - Buildup of sidebands from arms in recycling cavity  $\mathbf{k} = \mathbf{k} \mathbf{k}^{\mathbf{E}_{s,1}(\omega)}$



Peter Beyersdorf G040047-00-Z

#### Theoretical response (to noise)

- Investigate the static response with imperfect polarizing optics to determine if they can couple noise to the output port
  - one round trip in the interferometer recycling cavity is described by

$$\begin{bmatrix} \boldsymbol{p}' & \boldsymbol{s}' \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} RT_{11} & RT_{21} \\ RT_{12} & RT_{22} \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} \boldsymbol{p} \\ \boldsymbol{s} \end{bmatrix}$$

 for deviation of parameters (wave plate angles, birefringence, etc.) about their nominal values, the resulting spurious signal has only 1 first order dependence, which is a static quantity

$$RT_{21} = \underbrace{14\Delta\Gamma_{HWP} + \Delta\Gamma_{HWP}}_{\text{birefringence of}} \underbrace{0.5\Delta \boldsymbol{q}_{HWP} - 1.7\Delta \boldsymbol{q}_{VWP}}_{\text{optimalization}} + \underbrace{5.9A_{PBS,p} - 35A_{PRB,s}}_{\text{lockage of s p polarizations}} \underbrace{-\Delta \boldsymbol{b}\Delta \boldsymbol{q}_{VWP}}_{\text{optimalizations}} + J(3)$$

birefringence ofangular misalignmentleakage of s,p polarizationshalf waveplateof waveplatesthrough the polarizing beamsplitter

Noise due to polarizing optics seems manageable

Unique aspects of the polarization RSE operation

- The use of an orthogonally polarized local oscillator for generating control signals
- Control of a Michelson without asymmetry
  - not necessary, but can reduce coupling of laser frequency noise to signal
- Differential tuning of a birefringent cavity
- Creating polarization sensitive finesse for a linear cavity

# Balanced detection with an orthogonally polarized local oscillator



#### Control of a Michelson without asymmetry



#### Differential tuning of a birefringent cavity



# Creating polarization sensitive finesse for a linear cavity.

A non-normal incident window provides different coupling for the s and p polarization into the recycling cavity



Peter Beyersdorf G040047-00-Z

### Elimination of 3<sup>rd</sup> order sidebands



Peter Beyersdorf G040047-00-Z

#### Putting it all together – the PRSE experiment



| Lock acquisition –  $dl_+$ 



# Lock acquisition $- dl_{-}$



# Lock acquisition - $dl_x$



Peter Beyersdorf G040047-00-Z

# Lock acquisition - $dl_v$



Peter Beyersdorf G040047-00-Z

# Control Signals – $dL_{-}$



 Once lock is acquired the control of the cavities is switched to the *dL*\_and *dL*\_+ signals

| Control Signals –  $dL_+$ 



# Control Signals



- The control scheme is basically that of TAMA300
- The Michelson interferometer does not need asymmetry
  - Leaky polarizing beamsplitters couple sidebands to the dark polarization detectors for use as a local oscillator

# Lock acquisition order independence

One strength of the polarization RSE configuration is the clean separation of the control signals. That is evident by the fact that the arm cavities can be locked before or after the recycling cavity



Peter Beyersdorf G040047-00-Z

# RSE transfer functions

- Auxiliary laser was offset frequency locked and injected into one arm cavity to simulate GW sidebands
- The frequency response of the interferometer was mapped out for various detunings
- The detuning was changed without disturbing lock



Swept sin from network analyzer

# Balance of RF sidebands

- Balanced RF sidebands are necessary for low-noise RF readout of the gravitational wave signal
- Detuning produces an imbalance in the signal sidebands in the dark polarization
- *RF* sidebands are unaffected by detuning
  - used for signal readout (in the bright polarization) are balanced regardless of the detuning
  - Demodulation phase does not need to be reoptimized after changing the detuning



Peter Beyersdorf G040047-00-Z

# Discussion

- The polarization RSE configuration offers many advantages over other RSE configurations
  - Simple, familiar control scheme
  - RF readout without excess noise
  - Can operate without Shnupp asymmetry, reducing noise and control loop couplings
  - Variable bandwidth and continuously tunable peak frequency without breaking lock
- The cost of these advantages is the use of polarizing optics
  - Tolerances need to be set for several parameters that can couple noise to the output
  - High quality polarizing optics need to be developed and characterized
  - Computer models for interferometer analysis need to be modified to be able to represent this configuration

#### Q: What do these things have in common?



- A: Their designs have been constrained by the capabilities of the computer models available for their analysis
- Most of our computer models of interferometers (e2e, finesse) can not yet handle polarization well, so they cannot model polarization RSE

# Summary

- A TAMA300-like control scheme was used to control a polarization RSE interferometer
- The frequency response is continuously adjustable
- Lock acquisition and control were robust and unaffected by the frequency tuning
- RF readout can be implemented without introducing excess noise
- Implementation will require extensive use of polarizing optics, which haven't been used in a gravitational wave detector before



### Quantum noise in polarization RSE

- Vacuum fluctuations that enter through the L- detection ports produce quantum noise
- Optical spring effect is

polarization RSE









Peter Beyersdorf G040047-00-Z