Equivalence relation between non spherical optical cavities and application to advanced G.W. interferometers.

Juri Agresti, Erika D'Ambrosio, Yanbei Chen, Pavlin Savov

This research has logically built on a lot of previous investigations:
D'Ambrosio, O'Shaughnessy, Strigin, Thorne, Vyatchanin (MH-mirror)
D.Sigg and J.Sidles (radiation pressure coupled to mirror misalignment)
There are also many current investigations on non-gaussian beams:
Bagini,Belanger,Gori,Lachance,Li,Lu,Luo,Palma,Pare,Siegman,Tovar

Geometrical interpretation of alignment instability for spherical mirrors

Daniel Sigg had pointed this out at the Fifth Amaldi Conference in Italy (July 2003)



Small-scale experiment: demonstrating the practicability of Flat-Top beams, under any critical aspect and showing the robustness of the corresponding set of cavity modes. (Agresti, D'Ambrosio,Desalvo,Mantovani,Simoni,Willems)



$$w_0 = \sqrt{\frac{L}{k}}$$

Motivated by the important issues illustrated above: Equivalence Relation Proof A Juri Agresti & Erika D'Ambrosio Generalization to any kind of mirror surface

Lossless specular cavities:

 $\gamma u(\vec{r}) = \int_{\substack{Mirror\\Surface}} K(\vec{r}, \vec{r}') u(\vec{r}') d\vec{r}' \qquad K(\vec{r}, \vec{r}') \qquad \text{propagator from surface to surface} \\ u(\vec{r}) \qquad \text{light distribution on both mirrors} \\ \gamma \qquad \text{eigenvalue for one-way trip} \end{cases}$

$$K_{flat}(\vec{r},\vec{r}') = \frac{ik}{2L\pi} Exp\left[-ikL + ikh(r) - \frac{ik}{2L}|\vec{r} - \vec{r}'|^2 + ikh(r')\right] K_{conc}(\vec{r},\vec{r}') = \frac{ik}{2L\pi} Exp\left[-ikL - ikh(r) + \frac{ik}{2L}|\vec{r} + \vec{r}'|^2 - ikh(r')\right]$$

$$h(r) \quad \text{deviation from perfectly flat surface} \quad -h(r) \text{ deviation from concentric surface} \quad R = \frac{L}{2}$$

The eigenfunctions are real, being the mirrors constant phase surfaces

The eigenfunctions are the same automatic mapping

Classification according to quantum numbers by Equivalence Relation Proof A Juri Agresti & Erika D'Ambrosio eigenvalue relation unambiguously identified



Application to Advanced LIGO of Equivalence Relation Proof A Juri Agresti & Erika D'Ambrosio : *alignment instability*

For equivalent cavities: $\frac{1}{7}$

For unstable coupling:

$$\frac{\alpha_{conc}}{T_{flat}} \approx \frac{\alpha_{conc}}{\alpha_{flat}} \qquad \alpha = \text{coupling}$$

$$T = \text{torque}$$

$$\frac{\alpha_{conc}^G}{\alpha_{flat}^G} \approx \frac{1}{40} \text{ in agreement with Sigg \& Sidles}$$

$$\frac{\alpha_{conc}^{FTB}}{\alpha_{flat}^{FTB}} \approx \frac{1}{247} \text{ in agreement with Sav. \& Vyatch.}$$

Comparison between geometries $T_{conc}^{G} \approx 1.1 T_{conc}^{FTB}$ $T_{flat}^{G} \approx 0.2 T_{flat}^{FTB}$

The *closer* to concentric, the *larger* the diffraction angle.

Two beams are related by Fourier Transform: Equivalence Relation Proof B Yanbei Chen & Pavlin Savov mesa beams defined at the center of the cavity

• Savov and Vyatchanin: Two types of Mesa beams are supported by configurations with flat+h(r) and concentric spherical — h(r), respectively

• Bondarescu and Thorne: A continuum of Mesa beams are designed by overlapping minimal spreading Gaussian beams, from flat+h(r) to concentric spherical — h(r)



Formation of

Gaussians being translated in $\mathbf{r}=(x, y)$ space, and linearly superimposed Formation of Nearly Concentric Mesa Beam



Gaussians being translated in $\mathbf{k} = (k_x, k_y)$ space, and linearly superimposed

Gaussians have the same form in ${\bf r}$ and ${\bf k}$ spaces

Propagation Operators in Dual Configurations Equivalence Relation Proof B Yanbei Chen & Pavlin Savov from the center of the cavity to the mirror and back



Relation between the two propagators Equivalence Relation Proof B Yanbei Chen & Pavlin Savov Eigenstates and eigenvalues

Calculation shows a mapping between propagators

$$\mathcal{PL}^*_A = -e^{4tkL}\mathcal{F}^{-1}\mathcal{L}_B\mathcal{F}$$

$$\mathcal{P}: \text{ Parity Operation (flips up and down)} \\ \mathcal{F}: [\mathcal{F}u](\vec{r}) = \frac{k}{2\pi L} \int d^2 \vec{r'} e^{-ik\vec{r}\cdot\vec{r}'/L} v(\vec{r}')$$

 This implies a mapping between eigenstates (their complex-amplitude distributions at the center of the cavity):

Given an eigenstate u_A of \mathcal{L}_A : $\mathcal{L}_A u_A = \lambda_A u_A$, $\mathcal{P} u_A = (-1)^F u_A$, (note that $\mathcal{P} \mathcal{L}_{A,B} = \mathcal{L}_{A,B} \mathcal{P}$)

 $(\mathcal{F}u_A^*)$ is an eigenstate of \mathcal{L}_B : $\mathcal{L}_B(\mathcal{F}u_A^*) = \underbrace{(-1)^{p+1}e^{-4ikL}\lambda_A^*}_{\text{new eigenvalue}}(\mathcal{F}u_A^*)$

Proposal of nearly concentric non-spherical mirrors as an alternative to Advanced LIGO baseline (Agresti,Bondarescu, Chen,D'Ambrosio,Desalvo,Savov,Thorne,Vyatchanin,...)

- Integration of thermal noise with different beam profiles
- Simulations of Advanced LIGO with both configurations
- Experience with non spherical cavities (Caltech prototype)
- Comparison with different alternatives (cryogenic design)
- Exploration of alternative numerical tools for simulations