



Improving the bandwidth and stability of interferometric gravitational wave detectors with suspension point interferometers

## Yoichi Aso

Columbia University, New York, NY, USA University of Tokyo, Tokyo, Japan

Nov. 20 2007 CaJAGWR Seminar @ California Institute of Technology

LIGO-G070796-00-Z

#### Acknowledgment

Masaki Ando, Kimio Tsubono, Shigemi Otsuka, Yoshikatsu Nanjo University of Tokyo

> Bram Slagmolen Australian National University

> > Matt Evans LIGO MIT

Osamu Miyakawa, Hiro Yamamoto, Riccardo DeSalvo LIGO Caltech

> Kenji Numata NASA Goddard Space Flight Center

## **Introduction**

Suspension Point Interferometer (SPI) Active vibration isolation scheme Sensor: Auxiliary laser interferometers

#### **Advantages**

- Ultra low-frequency vibration isolation
- Reduced RMS mirror motion
  - Stabilization of the interferometer
  - Robust lock acquisition
  - Reduction of various technical noises

Prototype Experiment : 1.5m Fabry-Perot interferometers Maximum 40dB noise suppression below 10Hz (in spectrum) Mirror RMS motion 1/9 Mirror RMS speed 1/7

Future detectors: Advanced LIGO, LCGT, LISA

Seismic Noise The dominant noise source of ground based GW detectors at low frequencies

Various impacts on the IFO

## Duty cycle

- Stability of the interferometer
- Readiness of lock acquisition

## **Sensitivity**

- Low frequency limit of the observation band
- Noises coupled with low frequency mirror motions
  - Up-conversion by non-linearity of the detection system
  - Horizontal-Pitch coupling by feedback forces
  - Contrast defect (Laser noises)
  - Actuator noise

Suspension Point Interferometer

Originally proposed by Drever (1987)

Several possible configurations Conceptual diagram

> Main Interferometer (MIF) For GW Detection

Photo detectors

SP

Lases

#### Working Principle of SPI: Fabry-Perot Arm

# **SPI is locked**



#### Working Principle of SPI: Fabry-Perot Arm

## **Differential Seismic Motion**



#### Working Principle of SPI: Fabry-Perot Arm

## Common mode motion



No change in the distance

#### <u>Theoretical Performance</u> Common Mode Rejection Ratio (CMRR)



#### Simple Pendulums

Average resonant frequency:  $\omega_0$ 

Resonant frequency difference:  $\Delta \omega_0$  $CMRR = \frac{2\Delta \omega_0}{\omega_0}$ Symmetry is Important

#### Other Factors

- Cross coupling from other degrees of freedom Vertical, Pitch, Yaw etc ...
- Control gain of SPI
- Noise of SPI

Comparison with conventional vibration isolation techniques

## **Passive vibration isolation**

Harmonic Oscillators (Pendulum etc.)Isolation: above the resonant frequencyLow frequency: no isolationAt the resonance: vibration amplification

## Active vibration isolation

Measure the ground motion by sensors Cancel the vibration by feedback Widely used sensor: Accelerometers No DC sensitivity

Reference frame = Local inertial frame

Measuring the same DOF as the main interferometer Global Displacement Sensor DC sensitivity DC vibration isolation performance Low noise (Potentially as good as the main interferometer)

#### Advantages of SPI

#### **Characteristics**

Low Noise Sensor

Displacement sensor (global sensor) — DC sensitivity

Ultra low-frequency vibration isolation

## **Benefits**

Direct reduction of seismic noise in the observation band

- Reduction of the RMS motion of the mirrors
  - Stable Operation

- Duty Cycle Improvement
- Robust lock acquisition J
- Technical noises
  - Laser noises
  - Actuator noises
  - Up-conversion noise by non-linearity

to name a few

11

#### **Prototype Experiment**

- 1.5m long Fabry-Perot interferometers
- Triple pendulum suspensions
- Triangular rigid cavity mode-cleaner: Frequency Stabilization



## Overview of the experimental setup



## Nd:YAG Laser (1064nm)

電源、土はレ

## Mode Cleaner Chamber

MC

# Picomotor

2

PD1

# 40MHz EOM

PD2

Telescope



MGAS



Damping Stage

**SPI** Mirror

Main Mirror

## MGAS Filter

MGAS=Monolithic Geometric Anti Spring

Low Frequency Vertical Spring

Avoid vertical vibration to overwhelm the horizontal motion

Elastic force, gravity Positive Spring (restoring force) Buckling Negative Spring

Extremely small spring constant

MGAS Filter used in this experiment Dia. 40cm, Maraging Steel

Resonant frequency < 0.2Hz

Tuning: load, horizontal compression

Large temperature drift

**Compensation servo** 









## **Photo Sensor**

Sensor: Fabry-Perot Cavity Actuator: Coil-Magnet UGF: 1kHz Gain: 80dB@10Hz



Intensity Stabilization Sensor: Photo Detector Feedback: LD Current UGF: 1kHz

Frequency Stabilization Sensor: Mode Cleaner Cavity Feedback: Laser Crystal Temperature, PZT

ΙZ

Sensor: Photo Sensor Actuator: Coil-Magnet UGF: Below 0.1Hz





Spectral measurements

Transfer function measurements

#### **Displacement Equivalent Noise Spectrum (MIF)**



#### **Displacement Equivalent Noise Spectrum (MIF)**



## **Mirror Speed Spectrum**



Speed RMS: 1/7

## **Easier Lock Acquisition**

26



#### Advanced LIGO SPI

#### **Primary Motivation**

Robust Lock Acquisition

First fringe lock of FP arms is necessary

RMS mirror speed ~ $10^{-6}$  m/sec in noisy time

First fringe lock is difficult

Reduce the mirror speed by SPI

less than 25 nm/sec is required



Estimates of BSC vibration by Rana

	Lockable mirror speed	Day	Night	SPI/Day	SPI/Night	Freque
Raw error	25nm/sec	9.5%	32%	56%	<b>98%</b>	
Normalized	25nm/sec	9.5%	32%	56%	<b>98%</b>	
Guide lock	500nm/sec	90%	100%	100%	100%	by O. Miyaka

#### **During Operation**

- Improved stability / duty cycle
- Reduced up-conversion noise
- Reduced pitch motion (horizontal-to-pitch coupling)
- Better contrast
- Other noises related to large low-frequency motion of the mirrors

#### AdvLIGO SPI Configuration

- Penultimate masses are not exposed to the beam tube
- Hang SPI mirrors from the seismic platform
- Actuation: seismic platform (ISI)



But rigid mount is not usable because of tilt coupling

**ISI** 

Decouple the tilt by a pendulum (Matt EAttes) ative configuration A bit deguspendiegh Feripoepey performance D



## Single Pendulum SPI

(Drawings by Dennis Coyne)



#### **AdvLIGO SPI Performance Estimates**

(simulation code by Matt Evans)

#### SPI: Single pendulum suspended from ISI Fabry-Perot-Michelson, Arm finesse = 10, Input power = 1mW SPI feedback to ISI, UGF = 8Hz



Total RMS:  $0.15 \,\mu$  m (Without SPI),  $0.7 \,\mathrm{nm}$  (With SPI) More than a factor of 200 reduction

#### **Speed Spectra**



Total RMS:  $0.2 \,\mu \,m/sec$  (Without SPI),  $2.1 \,nm/sec$  (With SPI) About a factor of 100 improvement. Less than 25nm/sec

> More discussions can be found below http://ilog.ligo-wa.caltech.edu:7285/advligo/SPI\_SPI http://www.ligo.caltech.edu/docs/T/T070209-00.pdf

# THE AUSTRALIAN NATIONAL UNIVERSITY

#### Pseudo Random Noise Heterodyne Interferometry & Lock Acquisition Interferometer

- A new idea for Advanced LIGO lock acquisition by ANU group
- Heterodyne interferometry with PRN digital modulation
- Distinguish the motions of multiple objects in the light path by time delayed PRN demodulation
- Large dynamic range with a reasonable sensitivity (~ 1nm)
- Pre-lock the mirrors with this technique (Lock acquisition interferometer)



- Monitor the positions of individual mirrors by the PRN technique before the main interferometer is locked
- Apply feedback forces to bring the mirrors to the appropriate positions for the operation of the interferometer

# Use for multi element interferometers



#### **Experimental Demonstration at ANU**

Displacement sensitivity characterized by comparison with PDH locking of FP cavity





## LCGT and SPI





#### Vibration introduced from heat links (simulation by T. Uchiyama)

Point mass model of LCGT SAS -40dB isolation enhancement by SPI Vertical to horizontal coupling = 1%







#### Verifying LISA interferometer

- Stable environment for high sensitivity
  - Testing of each optical bench needs moderate thermally stable environment (like LTP).
  - Testing of two (or more) optical benches/spacecrafts
    - Needs extremely stable environment between two benches (~10pm/rtHz)
    - Drifts of mechanical/optical components: ~1um (=1,000,000pm)
  - Every LISA testbed is designed to become insensitive to the relative bench motion.
    - The motion is the GW signal that LISA is supposed to detect.
- Detection and active suppression of environmental motions
  - Using multiple stabilization interferometers and multi-DOF actuators



## **Photographs**



#### Installed components

- Vacuum system
- Hexapod

15A

- Control system
  - Digital control (3 DOFs)
- Iodine stabilized lasers
- Interferometers
  - Silicate bonded optics on ULE plates
  - 3 stabilization interferometers
    - Length, yaw & pitch motion
  - 1 measurement interferometer





# Stabilization results



#### Current control performance

- In spectrum
  - Gain ~1000 achieved
  - 3<sup>rd</sup> beam (pitch) didn't help stability •
    - Still above LISA requirement



#### In time-domain

Typical free-running drift : ~4um<sub>pp</sub>/day (over 1m separation)



Typical stabilized drift : ~20nm<sub>pp</sub>/day

#### Conclusion

SPI: Low-noise low-frequency active vibration isolation scheme Ultra low-frequency performance: RMS reduction

Stable operation, Robust lock acquisition, Technical noise mitigation

Prototype Experiment

1.5m Fabry-Perot interferometer, Triple pendulum suspension

Spectral measurements

• Velocity RMS:

Noise spectrum: maximum 40dB reduction Displacement RMS: 1/9 1/7

**Transfer function measurements** 

Vibration isolation performance improvement: more than 40dB up to 20Hz

Future detectors are considering the use of SPI LCGT, Advanced LIGO LISA Test

# **Extra Slides**





Wire Clamp

**Photo Sensor** 



## Final Stage

0

C

•

•

۲

**Recoil Mass** 

**MIF Mirror** 

Wire Clamp



#### **Damping Magnets**

**Actuator Magnets** 

Recoil Mass Main Mass







## **Laser Noises**



## **Electric Noises**



## Thermal noise, Shot noise



## Total estimated noise



#### Noise shape: OK

Magnitude: Small discrepancy

## Noise of the SPI



