# Development of Fused Silica Suspensions for Advanced Gravitational Wave Detectors

LSC Virgo Meeting - Pasadena 19<sup>th</sup> March 2008

> Alastair Heptonstall LIGO

#### Monolithic suspensions for advanced detectors

- Development of monolithic suspensions is based on experience from the GEO600 suspensions
- This talk will cover aspects of production and testing of suspension elements suitable for Adv. LIGO and potential upgrades to Virgo
- The criteria that must be met by ribbon fibres for Adv. LIGO:

Breaking stress > 2.4 GPa

Intrinsic loss  $<3 \times 10^{-11}$ /t, where t is the thickness of the ribbon

 We will present an update on the status of development since the last LSC



# LASTI Installation - Fibre Pulling Machine

- Installation of the fibre pulling machine began in December 2007
- Main mechanical parts installed over two weeks in December
- Labview / electrical installation completed in January
- Laser stabilisation controller implemented in January
- First fibres produced in February
- Work now progressing on improving the ribbon 'recipe'



#### LASTI Installation - Fibre Pulling Machine



# **LASTI Installation**

characterisation

equipment



#### LASTI laser fibre pulling machine



# LASTI Installation - Characterisation Equipment

- Parts have been delivered to LASTI for characterisation suite
  - Optical profiler
  - Bounce tester
  - Proof load
- Members of the University of Glasgow group have been installing these systems at the LASTI site
- Over the next month this work will be completed
- A destructive strength tester will also be installed in the coming weeks for further fibre evaluation



#### Welding technology in Glasgow



### Welding in and around the structure

Flat on side of mass

#### Main structure



# Initial Welding Tests at LASTI

- Mirror galvanometers have been set up on the optical bench, with a Labview control programme
- Preliminary tests on the bench are underway
- The articulated laser arm has been delivered to LASTI
- Designs for the tooling for welding in the structure have been completed
- This tooling is now out for manufacture



- The current baseline uses a 'thin ribbon' design (60cm x 1.15mm x 0.115mm)
- This design maximises dilution by minimising stiffness in direction of measurement
- At 20Hz loss is a factor of 6 lower compared to a similar cross section cylindrical
- The plot below show the main sources of loss for a baseline design ribbon
- The dominant source of dissipation is non-linear thermoelastic loss



Heptonstall, Barton, Cagnoli, Cumming, Faller, Hammond, Hough, Jones, Kumar, Martin, Mittleman, Rowan, Strain, Tokmakov, van Veggel

- Obtaining a high dissipation dilution is not the only critical factor in reducing loss
- We present here initial results of modeling of different suspension fibre geometries to look for optimised shapes for the Advanced LIGO suspensions
- A two stage analytical/FEA computer model is used to look at optimising fibre shape
- We have used a ribbon aspect ratio of 10:1 here
- Included are the effects of surface dissipation, dissipation dilution, non-linear thermoelastic loss and energy distribution in the suspension

$$\phi_{total} = \sqrt{\frac{YI}{Tl^2}} \left[ \frac{E_{surface}}{E_{bulk}} \phi_{surface} + \left( \frac{YT}{\rho C} \right) \left( \frac{\omega \tau}{1 + (\omega \tau)^2} \right) \left( \alpha - \beta \frac{\sigma_0}{Y} \right)^2 \right]$$
  
dilution surface loss non-linear thermoelastic loss

- Plotted below is total loss as a function of fibre thickness
- A substantial improvement can be made across a wide frequency range by moving to fibres with thicker flexure regions
- Thicker flexure regions have a reduced stress, and hence a reduced thermoelastic loss



Heptonstall, Barton, Cagnoli, Cumming, Faller, Hammond, Hough, Jones, Kumar, Martin, Mittleman, Rowan, Strain, Tokmakov, van Veggel

- Below we plot the loss at 20Hz for a ribbon fibre
- The optimum thickness for the flexure region is 0.221mm
- The total loss for this thickness is 3.76x10-10
- This is an improvement of a factor of 5 compared to the baseline design



Heptonstall, Barton, Cagnoli, Cumming, Faller, Hammond, Hough, Jones, Kumar, Martin, Mittleman, Rowan, Strain, Tokmakov, van Veggel

- This optimisation model was repeated for cylindrical fibres.
- Again a significant improvement in performance is possible by moving to thicker flexure regions



Heptonstall, Barton, Cagnoli, Cumming, Faller, Hammond, Hough, Jones, Kumar, Martin, Mittleman, Rowan, Strain, Tokmakov, van Veggel

- At 20Hz the optimum diameter for cylindrical fibres is 794um.
- The total loss for this thickness is 4.25x10<sup>-10</sup>
- The vertical stiffness of the fibre can be brought down by reducing the diameter of the central section by 8um.



Heptonstall, Barton, Cagnoli, Cumming, Faller, Hammond, Hough, Jones, Kumar, Martin, Mittleman, Rowan, Strain, Tokmakov, van Veggel

# **Comparison of Fibre Designs**

- The plot below shows a comparison between cylindrical and ribbon fibres
- In optimum configuration there is 15% difference between ribbon and cylindrical fibre performance
- Both give significant decrease in loss compared to the baseline ribbon design
- The optimised fibre performance has a lower frequency dependence



Heptonstall, Barton, Cagnoli, Cumming, Faller, Hammond, Hough, Jones, Kumar, Martin, Mittleman, Rowan, Strain, Tokmakov, van Veggel

### FEA Modelling of Optimised Fibre

- An Ansys model was made for an optimised fibre design.
- The fibre has 1cm long thicker ends, with a short taper down to the thinner central section
- Dilution found using FEA matches theoretical to within 3%





Heptonstall, Barton, Cagnoli, Cumming, Faller, Hammond, Hough, Jones, Kumar, Martin, Mittleman, Rowan, Strain, Tokmakov, van Veggel

# FEA Modelling of Ear Stiffness

3mm

5mm

long

"ear"

1mm

diameter

- A further model was constructed to add in the effects of ears
- Since a real ear cannot be infinitely stiff some small amount of flexing must occur
- Using FEA we can quantify the amount of energy stored in the ear and tapered fibre end



# FEA Modelling of Ear Stiffness

 Using the energy ratios found from FEA it is then possible to calculate the loss contributions from each section of the fibre and ear assembly



Heptonstall, Barton, Cagnoli, Cumming, Faller, Hammond, Hough, Jones, Kumar, Martin, Mittleman, Rowan, Strain, Tokmakov, van Veggel

# Conclusions

- Optimising of the thickness of the fibre ends can result in reduced loss compared to the baseline design
- There is a 15% difference between the optimised cylindrical and optimised ribbon fibres
- Cylindrical fibres have been proven in use in GEO600 suspensions, with a large quantity of literature relating to them
- They are simple to produce and tapered versions have already been demonstrated using the LASTI pulling machine
- We would suggest that use of the optimised cylindrical fibre design presented here is advantageous compared to the current baseline ribbon design in terms of superior performance and reduced risk