

1

# Short-range Newtonian coupling to GW test-masses

by

N.A. Lockerbie

GWADW Hawaii 15 May, 2012

## Local (perturbing) gravitational sources

University of Strathclyde Glasgow

- Suspended test-masses (TMs).
- Local mass surrounding TMs may move.
- This movement will change Newtonian axial forces on TMs—quasi instantaneously.
- Ostensibly, calculating the effects of such mass movements (1–3) appears obvious and straightforward
  - (are effects negligible ?)



Background—cylindrical test-masses for the Satellite Test of the Equivalence Principle (STEP) experiment



• Two bodies *A* and *B*.

Strathclyde

**University of** 

Glasgow

- Different materials.
- Permanent free-fall.
  - In vacuo.
  - 2 K.
    - Drag-free spacecraft (as GP-B).
- Superconducting Linear bearings.
- SQUID differential displacement detection.

## Local gravitational sources for STEP



- Paired cylindrical testmasses
  - Co-axial
  - Concentric.
- Local (potential) gravitational sources of systematic error, e.g. bubbles in liquid <sup>4</sup>He.
  - A bubble's (negative) mass can pull gravitationally, and differentially, on testmasses
  - Synchronous with perceived rotation rate of 'Earth around spacecraft.'
- Can mimic an EP violating signal.



## Newtonian coupling to STEP Test Masses

- Radial distance of source mass  $R_0$  was held constant, and  $\theta$  was stepped in 1° increments through one quadrant.
- At each new source-mass position (value of θ) the total gravitational force on each test mass, due to the point source-mass, was found by integration. From this, the full axial acceleration of each test-mass was deduced.
- The two axial accelerations were differenced to find  $\Delta a_z$ , and the ratio  $\Delta a_z/a$  was calculated, where *a* is the common-mode acceleration.



- Problem resolved itself into finding the axial acceleration of each test-mass due to the local sourcemass m — here, a perturbing (negative) source.
- ➤ Challenge: determining the best shape for each test-mass so as to minimise ∆a<sub>z</sub>.



## Residual differential acceleration ratio





• Polar plot of ratio  $\Delta a_z/a$ .

- The 3D integrations of primitive gravitational vector forces took 40 h of computation at that time, but...
- ...integration over (say) an extended source-mass would involve 6D integrations (3D over source + 3D over each relevant test-mass
  - even today, Unfeasibly lengthy.

6

## Fortuitous gravitational balancing...



- It turned out in the previous example that gravitational '64-pole' Newtonian coupling dominated the differential acceleration between two almost perfectly balanced test-masses
  - Polar angular appearance was so striking its functional form was perfectly recognizable as
  - the Legendre polynomial  $P_7(\cos(\theta))$ : -



- Recognition of this relationship led to a far better method for determining the axial acceleration of cylindrical testmasses, due to *point* gravitational sources
  - and so, by superposition, due to *any* gravitational sources.
- The original 40 h calculation by 3D integration was now completed in less than 1 s, and to higher accuracy, using this method.

# Single test-mass: source-mass on its cylindrical axis





Newtonian gravitational attraction of an extended body by a (unit) point source-mass





Points within the body described by vector r(x, y, z). External field point **P** described by vector  $R_0$ , (*X*, *Y*, *Z*). The density of the body,  $\rho$ , may vary throughout its volume, *V*. Axes are fixed within body. Origin is at the CM of the body.

### Expansion of the PE about $R_0$



Gravitational PE, source at **P**, is: 
$$\phi = -G \int_{V} \frac{\rho \, dV}{|\mathbf{R}_0 - \mathbf{r}|}$$

*G* is the gravitational constant =  $6.67 \times 10^{-11} \text{ N.m}^2 \text{ kg}^{-2}$ ; unit source-mass.

# Monopolar axial Force, $F_z^{(0)}$



Arbitrary source-mass position.

Strathclyde

**University** of

Glasgow

- Test-mass shown as 'wire frame' cylinder.
- Origin of polar coordinates at its CM.
  - $R_0$  held constant,  $\theta$  varied.
  - Lobe pattern of axial Force *identical* for all cylindrical test-masses a positive and a negative sphere...
  - ...Force always attractive.
- Low axial coupling from source-masses lying to the sides of the testmass, as shown.

## Quadrupolar axial Force, $F_{z}^{(2)}$



Glasgow

Strathclyde

**University of** 

- Arbitrary source-mass position.
- Test-mass shown as 'wire frame' cylinder.
- $R_0$  held constant,  $\theta$  varied.
- Resulting lobe pattern of quadrupolar axial Force is the same for all cylindrical test-masses—but lobes may have opposite signs. It is a figure of revolution about the z-axis (cylindrical symmetry).
- Significant axial Force even when perturbing sourcemass is almost at rightangles to axis thro' CM of test-mass.
- Gravitational quadrupolar
  Force can be repulsive. 12

## Quadrupolar coupling



The quadrupolar PE can be written as: -

$$\phi^{(2)} = -\frac{G}{6} \sum_{\alpha,\beta} \left[ \int_{V} \rho \left( 3x_{\alpha} x_{\beta} - r^{2} \delta_{\alpha\beta} \right) dV \frac{\partial^{2}}{\partial X_{\alpha} \partial X_{\beta}} \left( \frac{1}{R_{0}} \right) \right], \quad \text{where } \alpha, \beta = a, b, c, \text{ and} \\ \delta_{\alpha\beta} = \begin{cases} 1 & (\alpha = \beta). \\ 0 & (\alpha \neq \beta). \end{cases}$$

• 
$$D_{\alpha\beta} = \int_{V} \rho (3x_{\alpha}x_{\beta} - r^{2}\delta_{\alpha\beta}) dV$$
 are defined to be the nine elements of the 2nd rank symmetric *mass quadrupole tensor*; similarly...

cf. 
$$\boldsymbol{J}_{\alpha\beta} = \int_{\boldsymbol{V}} \rho \left( \boldsymbol{r}^2 \delta_{\alpha\beta} - \boldsymbol{x}_{\alpha} \boldsymbol{x}_{\beta} \right) d\boldsymbol{V}$$

are the elements of a body's tensor of inertia, [J].

Relative to the body's principal axes of inertia (here, labelled: 1, 2, and 3) [J] has only 3 non-zero elements:  $J_{11}$ ,  $J_{22}$ , and  $J_{33}$ .



## The mass quadrupole tensor



Relative to these same *Principal Inertial axes* the gravitational *mass quadrupole tensor* of any body can be written simply in terms of the principal moments of inertia of that body: -



Therefore, if the body's 'ellipsoid of inertia' is a sphere, then  $J_{11} = J_{22} = J_{33}$ , and there can be no quadrupolar gravitational interaction with this body (\*MacCullagh's formula).

\*James MacCullach (1809–47) Irish mathematician and physicist.

## The quadrupolar Force



• Quadrupolar Force:



### (Unit source-mass.)

- *G* is the Constant of Gravitation.
- *R*<sub>0</sub> is the (column) position vector of the point P measured from the CM of the testmass, and
- $\boldsymbol{R}_0^T$  is the corresponding transpose (row) vector.

[mass  $\times$  distance<sup>2</sup>] is

[**D**] the mass quadrupole moment of the testmass (2nd rank tensor array).

# Mass distribution around a suspended test-mass



 Test-suspension at MIT (dummy test-mass).

Glasgow

**University of** 

Strathclyde

- Glasgow silica-fibre suspension.
- Mechanical structure is necessarily in close proximity to the suspended test-mass
  - Does its mass fall inside the quadrupolar lobes ?
  - What is the relative size of the quadrupolar force ?

# Local mass distribution example—the aLIGO suspension





# *Example: magnitude of ratio* $F_z^{(2)}/F_z^{(0)}$



If the axial effect of a point perturbing source-mass is averaged over the spherical surface shown, having radius  $R_0 = 0.4$  m, then

**University of** 

Glasgow

Strathclyde

The ratio of the magnitude of the quadrupolar to monopolar axial gravitational forces is (in this example)  $F_z^{(2)}/F_z^{(0)} = 0.25$ ; and this ratio  $\propto R_0^{-2}$ .

## Impact of 1 nm Radial or Angular movement of 1 kg source-mass on $a_z$





## Full moment expansion for test-mass



$$F_{\text{axial}} = G M \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \left\{ \frac{(2n+1)P_{2n+1}(\cos(\theta))}{R_0^{(2n+2)}} \sum_{p=0}^n \left( \frac{(-1)^p (2n)! \ell^{2[n-p]} b^{2p}}{2^{2p} p! (p+1)! (2[n-p]+1)!} \right) \right\}.$$

(Unit source-mass.)

- n = 0: Monopole.
- n = 1: Quadrupole.
- n = 2: Hexadecapole.
- n = 3: 64-pole, etc.



## Accuracy of the moment expansion



Closed-form solution result

1 kg source-mass on-axis:

 $3.40848 \times 10^{-10} \text{ ms}^{-2}$ .

Moment expansion result

**University of** 

Glasgow

Strathclyde

 $3.40848 \times 10^{-10} \,\mathrm{ms}^{-2}$ 

Test-mass

b = 0.26 m (Radius ).

 $\ell$  = 0.14 m (Semi axial-length).

## Background work

<u>Co-workers</u> Alexey Veryaskin Xiaohui Xu





22

### Background work, cont'd...





Figure 2. A cut-away view of grooves machined in a hollow cylindrical body.

r'=a, the outer radius r'=b, and  $\phi=\pm\alpha/2.$  The semi-length of the groove is l.

Firstly, integrating over z' (with no loss of generality taking z > z')

$$V(\mathbf{R}) = -G\rho \sum_{m=0}^{\infty} \epsilon_m \int_{\phi'=-\alpha}^{\alpha} \cos[m(\phi - \phi')] d\phi' \int_{k=0}^{\infty} e^{-kz} J_m(kr) \times \int_{r'=a}^{b} J_m(kr') r' \left[\frac{1}{k} e^{kz'}\right] dr' dk$$
(3)

and using the well-known series expansions for the Bessel and exponential functions it is straightforward to show that

$$\int_{a}^{b} J_{m}(kr')r'dr' = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \frac{(-1)^{n}}{2^{2n+m}(2n+m+2)n!(n+m)!} \times (b^{2n+m+2} - a^{2n+m+2})k^{2n+m},$$

and

$$e^{kl} - e^{-kl} = \sum_{q=0}^{\infty} \frac{l^q}{q!} \left[ 1 - (-1)^q \right] k^q = 2 \sum_{q=0}^{\infty} \frac{l^{2q+1} k^{2q+1}}{(2q+1)!}$$

so that the potential  $V(\mathbf{R})$  may be expanded as a triple summation over





## Conclusions



If there are *local* gravitational sources perturbing the GW test-masses, their

- axial effect will not be intuitively obvious; and the troublesome
  - Quadrupolar coupling is unlikely to be negligible out to distances > 0.4 m.
    However this form of Newtonian coupling
  - may be nulled through choice of test-mass dimensions ( $\ell = (\sqrt{3}/2)b$ ); but
  - does this impact the test-mass coating noise adversely ?
  - Moment expansion is very useful (computationally fast).
- If test-mass dimensions must be retained, can any local, potentially interfering structures, be placed in and around the known Newtonian axial-coupling 'notches' of the test-masses ?
  - Can each test-mass have 6 (rather than 2) equally-spaced longitudinal 'flats' ?

